



CITY OF PENDLETON

Office of Chief of Police
622 Airport Road
Pendleton, OR 97801
Phone (541) 276-4411
Fax (541) 276-9108
Dispatch (541) 966-3650
www.ppd.pendletonpolice.or.us

Request for Proposals (RFP) Body Worn Cameras City of Pendleton, Oregon

Section I. NOTICE TO PROPOSER

1. The issuance of this Request for Proposals (“RFP”) constitutes only an invitation to submit proposals to the City and is not to be construed as an official and customary request for bids. Any proposal submitted as provided herein constitutes an intention to supply information for consideration and is NOT A BID.
2. Proposers must read all RFP documents prior to submitting a bid.
3. Include the following attachments:
 - a. Attachment 1 – Company signed W-9 (required)
4. RFP documents, questions and answers, addendums, amendments and updates will be posted to the City of Pendleton website only.
5. The awarded proposer must agree to the City of Pendleton’s Terms and Conditions incorporated herein.
6. Contract will be awarded based on best value for the City.
7. The price must be neither directly or indirectly the result of any agreement with any other Proposer.
8. No proposal will be considered unless proposal price is firm and clearly defined. Conditional proposals will not be accepted. The City reserves the right to negotiate with one or more proposers on price and scope of work.
9. Awarded proposer will receive a Notice to Proceed; City executed Contract and a City Purchase Order.
10. The City reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to (a) determine whether any aspect of the proposal satisfactorily meets the criteria, (b) seek clarification from any Proposer(s), (c) negotiate with any Proposer(s) or other vendors/firms whether or not they submitted a proposal, (d) state terms and conditions that are substantially different from the terms and conditions set forth in this RFP or any RFP for similar products and/or services that may be issued subsequent to this RFP, (e) to reject, in whole or in part, any or all proposals with or without cause and reserves the right to waive irregularities, (f) cancel, amend or re-issue, in part or entirely, this RFP, (g) require Proposer(s) to appear for interviews and/or presentations of their proposals at City offices, (h) require references from Proposer(s)’ previous clients on projects similar in type and scope to the services/work sought in this RFP.
11. The City will not be responsible for any costs associated with the preparation, submittal, or presentation of any proposal.



12. All submitted proposals become a matter of public record and are subject to Oregon and other applicable law Freedom of Information Act laws. Proposals will become property of the City and will not be returned.
13. The City may ultimately decide to enter into a contract with that firm with which the City can make the most satisfactory arrangement for meeting its needs. The City is not obligated to award any contract or respond to proposals submitted, nor is it legally bound in any manner whatsoever by the submission of a proposal.

Section II. INVITATION

The City of Pendleton, Oregon is soliciting proposals from qualified companies to provide the Pendleton Police Department with 24 officer worn body cameras. In addition, companies must provide a video storage solution, which partners with the provided body worn cameras allowing storage, management, retrieval, sharing, and redaction/editing of captured digital video. Qualified companies will also provide a Service Agreement, which includes equipment, hardware, and software for 1 to 3 years.

Potential Vendors must demonstrate experiences in designing and maintaining body worn camera and backend server solutions. The intent of this request for proposals is to acquire body worn video camera(s) for public safety use that offers consistent yet simple functionality, recording, and storage capabilities. Potential Vendors shall only submit one proposal per firm.

Proposals that do not conform to the mandatory items as provided in the proposal instructions will not be considered.

Based upon results of the review and evaluation, the City may decide to proceed with an offer to one or more companies to furnish and potentially deploy units on a permanent basis throughout the Pendleton Police Department.

Section III. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The intent of this request for proposals is to acquire body worn video camera(s) for public safety use that offer ease of use, functionality, dependability, recording, editing and storage capabilities. Potential Vendors will also be required to provide training on the use of body cameras and storage systems. All proposals submitted must address the key components discussed in the Technical Information and Requirements section.

Section IV. GENERAL CONDITIONS

TERMS

The agreement that results from this RFP will be a contract for a period of 1 to 3 years. This agreement may be extended, on the same terms and conditions for an additional 1 to 3 year terms, if the City exercises the option to do so.

DESIGNATED CONTACTS

Any explanation desired by a potential vendor regarding the meaning or interpretation of any RFP provision or questions must be submitted in writing via email to the procurement contact, Chief Stuart Roberts, no later than noon (12:00 p.m. PST), July 22, 2019. Chief Stuart Roberts is



the only individual who may be contacted regarding the RFP and all inquiries should be sent to stuart.roberts@ci.pendleton.or.us.

SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

ACTIVITY		DATE/TIME
1.	Release Request for Proposal	July 8, 2019
2.	Deadline to submit written questions	July 22, 2019
4.	Deadline for submitting proposal	August 5, 2019, Noon (12:00 p.m. PST)
6.	Evaluation/Review	August 6, 2019
8.	Final recommended selection	August 9, 2019
9.	Final approval by CITY	August 20, 2019
10.	Tentative contract award date	August 22, 2019

REFERENCES

The City reserves the right to check any reference(s), regardless of the source of the reference information, including but not limited to, those that are identified by the company in the proposal, those indicated through the explicitly specified contacts in Appendix ____, those that are identified during the review of the proposal, or those that result from communication with other entities involved with similar projects.

COMMUNICATION WITH STAFF

From the date the RFP is issued until a contract is executed, communication regarding this project between potential vendors and individuals employed by the City is prohibited. Only written communication with the procurement contact, as listed on page one of this Request for Proposal is permitted.

Once a determination is announced regarding the selection of a vendor, the Vendor will be permitted to speak with person(s) participating in contract negotiations.

Violation of these conditions may be considered sufficient cause to reject a vendor's proposal and/or selection irrespective of any other condition.

The following exceptions to these restrictions are permitted:

- Contacts made pursuant to any pre-existing contracts or obligations; and
- Presentations, key personnel interviews, clarification sessions or discussions to finalize a contract, as requested by the City.

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

All information and data contained in the proposal becomes the property of the City and becomes public information upon opening the proposal.

If the Potential Vendor wishes to have any information withheld from the public, such information must fall within the definition of proprietary information contained within the state's Public Record statutes.



All proprietary information the Potential Vendor wishes the City to withhold from the public must be submitted in a sealed package, which is separate from the remainder of the bid. The separate package must be clearly marked PROPRIETARY on the outside of the package.

APPLICABLE LAW

The laws of the State of Oregon shall govern, and the appropriate venue and jurisdiction for any litigation, which may arise hereunder, will be in those courts located in the State of Oregon, regardless of the place of business, residence, or incorporation of the Vendor.

Section V. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND PROCESS PROPOSAL FORMAT

1. Each proposal page should be numbered for ease of reference;
2. All proposals shall be on 8-1/2" x 11" paper, bound with tabbed dividers labeled by section to correspond with information requested.
3. All proposals must be submitted in a sealed envelope plainly marked with the name and address of the Proposer and the RFP number and title. No responsibility will attach to the City or any official or employee thereof, for the pre-opening of, post-opening of, or the failure to open a proposal not properly addressed and identified.
4. The name of the Firm shall be indicated on the spine and/or cover of each binder.
5. Proposals shall not exceed 20 pages. Other attachments may be included with no guarantee of review;
6. Proposals must include any ancillary Firm agreements required for selecting Firm services;
7. Proposals must include copies of all proposed forms to be used, including but not limited to master lease agreements, ordering documentation, invoices, financing agreements and any other forms or contract terms.
8. Submit three (3) hard copies of the proposal to the RFP Contact listed on Page 1.
9. Submit electronic version via email ,which shall be considered the time stamp/receipt for submission; and
10. Hard copies must arrive at the City on or before the time and date specified under.

Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of capabilities to satisfy the requirements of the RFP. Emphasis should be placed on completeness and clarity of content. Elaborate brochures and excessive promotional materials are not required or desired

FAXED PROPOSALS ARE NOT ALLOWED AND WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED.

PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

The Potential Vendors shall provide the appropriate information in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the evaluation criteria has been satisfied as specified. To allow for easier comparison of proposals during evaluation, proposals should contain the following sections and



attachments and be arranged in consecutive order.

- a. *Letter of Transmittal.* On company letterhead, prepare a letter of transmittal briefly stating the firm understands the scope of services requested, meets all qualifications, and intends to achieve the objectives of the RFP. Any exceptions to requirements listed in the City's RFP must be clearly identified in the letter. The letter must indicate that all information attached is true and complete. An authorized representative of the firm must sign the letter, and an affirmative statement confirming such shall be included in the letter.
- b. *Executive Summary.* This section shall serve to provide the City with the key elements and unique features of the proposal by briefly describing how the Proposer is going to provide the best solution. The Executive Summary should include a schedule of major milestones to accomplish the implementation.

The Executive Summary should also include a list of high risk or problematic areas, which were identified during the proposal process that are reasons for concern. Proposers will not be evaluated on this paragraph and cannot lose evaluation points for listing areas of concern. These concerns will be addressed with the successful Vendor during negotiations.

- c. *Firm Profile.* The proposal, shall include, at a minimum, the following information regarding the firm profile:
 - Office address, main telephone number, and website for primary business location, as well as local office information, if applicable.
 - A brief overview of your firm's history and organizational structure.
 - If incorporated or a limited liability company, the State and date of incorporation (attach W-9).
 - A list of all certifications, awards, and any accreditations in your industry; and
 - Describe the firm's experience within our local and regional market.
 -
- d. *Qualifications and References.* The proposal shall include, at a minimum, the following information regarding qualifications and references:
 - A list of at least three (3) client references including two (2) government clients where you have provided similar goods and services. The reference information shall include name, address, contact name, email address, phone number, number of cameras in use, number of years each has been using your system, and corresponding interfacing systems.
 - Most recent audited financial statement including an Income Statement, Statement of Cash Flows and a Balance Sheet
 - A complete written description concerning your past, current and future financial stability relative to your ability to meet the long-term requirements of this contract. The City will exclusively determine financial stability of respondents to this RFP process.
 - Identification of all parent or subsidiary relationships.



- e. *Staff Qualifications and Availability.* Provide information concerning the experience, background, and resumes of those persons who would actually perform work on the project. Indicate the present workload of the project staff to demonstrate their ability to devote sufficient time to meet the proposed schedule.

Include the name of at least two (2) designated representatives who will be assigned to work with the City on the proposed services, including their direct phone number, cellular phone number, and email addresses.

- f. *Conceptual Treatment of Project and Work plan.* Describe in more detail the approach to the project. Include a preliminary project plan that includes Potential Vendor's concept of the project including the methodology to be used, proposed timeline, and the major deliverables to be produced. In addition, the Potential Vendor must provide and specify the roles and responsibilities for the City, Potential Vendor, and any companies providing the video storage solution. Include any assumptions and constraints.
- g. *Body Worn Camera (BWC) Selection and Assessment Tool.* All potential vendors must complete and submit this form for review by the Evaluation Panel. The BWC Selection and Assessment Tool is included in **Appendix 1**.
- h. *License Agreement, Software Maintenance Agreement, and Hosted Agreement* must be provided for review and evaluation by the Evaluation Panel.
- i. *Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.* The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Worksheet. Proposed budgets must be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the vendor estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format.

The Budget Narrative must include the following:

- Unit price for wearable cameras
- Unit price for camera accessories (mounts, collars, etc.)
- Unit price for evidence transfer managers (docking stations)
- Annual price for hardware maintenance and support
- Annual price for software maintenance and support
- Price for hosting storage for immediate access
- Price for hosting archival storage
- Hourly rates for training services

Specifically, the initial order is estimated to be for 24 cameras in the initial contract year. The equipment pricing will be used for purchases of equipment throughout the term of



the contract. All yearly maintenance and support fees shall be provided at a “fixed” per year price. Operation of all software and hardware products shall be warranted for a period of 12 months from the date of acceptance at no additional cost.

Section VI. EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL

An Evaluation Panel made up of representatives of the City will review proposals. The Evaluation Panel will select a "short list" of qualified Service Providers who may be requested to provide a formal demonstration to the City. The contract will be awarded to the Potential Vendor whose proposal the City determines, in its sole discretion, is the most advantageous to the City and in the City's best interest. Evaluations will be based on:

- Ease of solution's use
- Ability to meet the requirements of the RFP Scope of Services
- Maintenance, training, and support offering
- Cost of Services
- Acceptance of City's RFP Terms and Conditions

Section VI. WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSAL

Potential Vendors may request withdrawal of a posted, sealed proposal prior to the scheduled proposal opening time provided the request for withdrawal is submitted to the City's Purchasing Analyst in writing or a proposal release form has been properly filled out and submitted to the Purchasing & Contracts reception desk. Proposals must be re-submitted and time-stamped in accordance with the RFP document in order to be accepted.

No proposal may be withdrawn for a period of 90 calendar days after the date of proposal opening. All proposals received are considered firm offers during this period. The Potential Vendor's offer will expire after 90 calendar days. If a Potential Vendor intended for award withdraws their proposal, that Potential Vendor may be deemed non-responsible if responding to future solicitations.

Section VII. REJECTION OF PROPOSAL

The City reserves the right to reject all proposals received by reason of this request.

ADDENDUM TO THE RFP

If any addendum is issued for this RFP, it will be posted on the City's web site as an Addendum at <https://pendleton.or.us/>. The City reserves the right to cancel or amend the RFP at any time.

Section VIII. TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION AND REQUIREMENTS

All potential vendors must provide detailed and specific information on the following characteristics and requirements of their body-worn camera systems.

CAMERA AND SOFTWARE CONSIDERATIONS



Camera Characteristics:

- Maximum total combined weight of device to be worn by officers, in ounces
- Minimum recording capability on a single battery charge, in hours
- Minimum storage capacity on the device, in hours
- Minimum sustained stand-by battery life without recharging, in hours
- Recording indicator visible to the operator
- Ability for the officer/operator to turn the recording indicator off and on
- Minimum field of vision, in degrees
- Low light functionality similar to the human eye
- Ability to disable night vision function,
- Ability to transfer camera between multiple locations on the body
- Maximum number of wire or cable connections for the worn device
- Auto-tagging function for date/time, including hours, minutes, and seconds
- Additional product literature

Display and Access:

- Ability to view the video in the field
- Presence of enhanced user authentication
- Existence of a log showing users that have viewed and copied the video
- Ability to set and control the length of video retention by the System Administrator
- Identified management of account administration
- Ability to support multiple concurrent user log-ins
- Existence of customized search criteria
- Built in audio and video redaction capability
- Customizable logs/reports

Technical Capabilities:

- Capability with existing Department software and/or other viable and secure solution.

System Warranty:

- Minimum warranty for all patches, hardware, and software with option to extend warranty
- Articulated Return Material Authorization process
- Maximum time allowed for replacement of inoperable equipment by the vendor

Qualifications and Experience:

- The Potential Vendor shall provide a history of the business including the date established, the type of ownership or legal structure of the business (sole proprietor, partnership, corporation, etc.), the length of time that the firm has been operating as the legal entity, and the length of time the firm has been providing the requested service.
- The Potential Vendor shall list the proposed key members of staff to be assigned to the City's contract including their roles and estimated participation in delivering the services.



- The Potential Vendor shall disclose and explain any litigation, threatened litigation, investigation, reorganization, receivership, filing, strike, audit, corporate acquisition, unpaid judgments, or other action that could have an adverse impact on their ability to provide the required needs.
- The Potential Vendor shall disclose and explain whether they have been unable to complete a contract, been removed from a contract, or been replaced during a contract period in the past five years.
- If selected, Vendor, and any of their employees who work with the video system, must agree to submit and pass a criminal background check.

Storage

- Ability to export video in an industry standard file format
- Acknowledgment that all data is property of the city and must be made available at no additional cost
- Storage solution compliance with law enforcement Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) data protection and transport (i.e. SSL) standards. No external party-initiated connections will be allowed. The storage facility must be located within the United States (lower 48) including data storage for disaster recovery (DR) solutions.
- Clear indication of storage costs, equipment replacement costs, and cloud transactions costs. Disclosure of all additional costs.
- Ability to export audit trail along with video, including redactions. Identified scope of audit trail.
- Identified data integrity.
- Capability to produce digitally authenticated duplicates.

Technical Component:

- Ability to index data, e.g. officer name, serial number, date/time of recording, report number, and type of crime.
- Ability to automatically integrate with CAD systems and list or identify supported CAD vendors.
- Identified technical support and assistance that will include, but not be limited to the following; devices worn by police personnel, docking/charging stations, networking equipment, WAN/LAN connectivity, system software, system upgrades, and video retrieval software and procedures.
- Identified areas of expertise and resources available both nationally and locally to provide the requested services.
- Described process for video uploading
- Supported integration system to support integration for the backup of data (including video and database with audit logs) for data integrity in the event of corruption or malware.
- Supported local backend infrastructure in addition to CJIS compliant cloud storage with the ability to migrate in either direction at the discretion of the police department.



OWNERSHIP AND PUBLIC RECORDS

The City shall own all rights to the data and video that is stored at the Vendor's host site, (if applicable) with no transfer, conveyance, assignment, or sharing of data ownership to/with the hosting provider, the City must follow the State's Retention Records Schedule. It will be the responsibility of the City to notify the Vendor when the data can be deleted from the Vendor's host site.

MAINTENANCE AND USER FEES

The City will not pay software maintenance or support fees until the functions and features are demonstrated as operational in production. The City shall be entitled to exercise its option to purchase Extended Maintenance for a given option period.

User account fees, if any, will include costs for all subscription-licensed software provided by the Vendor, such as third-party modules, middleware, and integration. During implementation, testing, training, validation, and integration, the Vendor will provide sufficient numbers of user access accounts to enable the team to achieve a successful "go-live" into production. User Account fees will be based on production system use. Training, Development and Test accounts will not be considered additional users for access purposes.

Section IX. EVALUATION OF SELECTED CAMERAS

Testing Period: The testing period will be performed, and during this time, the City will evaluate each camera to assess in a static and fluid environment based on the following:

- Ease of Camera Use
- Camera Functionality
- Camera Sturdiness
- Video Download Capability
- Ease of Storage System
- Data Distribution Capability
- Account Administration/Storage Rights

Vendors who pass the Technical Component evaluation criteria will be required to attend an assigned testing date and will provide training to police officers who will test that proposer's camera.

Each Potential Vendor will indicate any pre set-up requirements needed from City staff or equipment required for training. On the assigned training day, each proposer will provide three working test units and training at no cost to the City. All units undergoing testing will be returned to the proposer at the proposer's expense following the testing and grading of the units.

Testing Data

Upon completion of the test period, all data collected during the testing phase will be submitted to the City in its entirety at no cost and submitted in a readily viewable format. Data must be indexed and searchable by date and time of recording. Data must be submitted to the City of Pendleton by August 5, 2019.



Appendix 1

Selection and Assessment Tool



General Requirements 1.0

Item	Question	Response	Cost
1.1	What is the name and model number of the Body Worn Camera (BWC), which are being proposed?		
1.2	Describe/explain the BWC mounting options and locations available.		
1.3	Can the BWC be moved to different locations on the body by the officer?		
1.4	Can your device be mounted on a K-9?		
1.5	What is the combined weight of your device?		
1.6	What are the dimensions of the proposed device?		
1.7	How is the battery charged/re-charged?		
1.8	How long is the charging/re-charging process?		
1.9	Is battery replaceable?		
1.10	If battery is replaceable, can it be done in the field?		
1.11	Does the proposed device have a battery indicator light/symbol? Describe		
1.12	How long will the proposed device hold a charge?		
1.13	What redundancy exists should the battery fail?		
1.14	What is the expected minimum recoding capability (hours) of a single battery charge?		
1.15	What is the expected minimum stand-by capability (hours) of a		



	single battery charge?		
1.16	Does the proposed device have a recording external light or symbol? Describe		
1.17	Describe the field playback capabilities and the user interface of audio/visual.		
1.18	What is the proposed unit's International Protection Rating for dust/water?		
1.19	What is the proposed unit's drop test rating per Military Drop Test rating 810?		
1.20	Describe data downloading/uploading challenges/restrictions outside formal process.		
1.21	Does the proposed device allow for expanding memory? If so, describe		
1.22	How many microphones does the device have, and are they hardwired to the recording unit?		
1.23	Can the operator turn the recording indicator off/on? Explain		
1.24	What is the maximum number of wire/cable connections to the proposed device?		
1.25	Are the proposed devices assigned to a single user, or can they be pooled?		
1.26	How many devices can be charged in a single docking station?		
1.27	Can the proposed device be synced with mobile video systems? Explain		

Equipment Performance 2.0

2.1	Please describe deviations from the minimum requirement of 60 frames		
-----	--	--	--



	per second (fps).		
2.2	What is the proposed device's maximum resolution at 60 fps?		
2.3	Please describe the proposed device's field of view specifications, and how depth and breadth are balanced?		
2.4	Does the proposed unit have the ability to capture still images? If so, at what megapixel?		
2.5	Are video images date/time stamped? Identify the format.		
2.6	Explain maximum capacity of resolution and frame rate for 12 hours of continuous recording.		
2.7	What is the Lux rating of the proposed device? Identify the operational scale used.		
2.8	Describe night/low light capabilities (i.e. distance, clarity field of view, etc.)		
2.9	Can the operator disable night/low light functions?		
2.10	Does proposed unit allow for pre-event buffering? If so, what are the limitations?		
2.11	Describe pre-event buffering options.		
2.12	Describe safety features, which prevent accidental/intentional deletion and/or modification of captured video on the proposed device itself.		
2.13	Can captured video be viewed/played back in the field?		
	Does proposed device		



2.14	allow event marking at any time during recording? Describe		
2.15	Does the proposed device auto-tagging date/time in hours, minutes, and seconds?		

Application Performance 3.0

3.1	How is the application supported...on premise, cloud or hybrid? Explain		
3.2	Describe functionality of proposed application.		
3.3	How often is application upgraded? Describe upgrade process.		
3.4	Describe process of transferring BWC content from unit to application repository.		
3.5	Does the proposed application allow import, playback and editing of video from other recording sources/devices? Describe		
3.6	Describe available support. More specifically, any deviations from 24/7.		
3.7	Describe the assignment and utilization of security access and permissions. Is application strategy granular enough to segregate/limit access to video content data?		
3.8	Where/how is data stored (video, audio and metadata)? Price variations?		
3.9	How is stored data backed up?		
3.10	How are retention periods for stored data defined? Can they be managed by		



	system administrator(s)		
3.11	Please describe compatibility with common web browsers, and how security of stored data is maintained.		
3.12	How does the system manage upload requests to prevent crashing/flooding the network?		
3.13	Are there limitations to the number of simultaneous uploads?		
3.14	What are the bandwidth requirements for one unit downloading the maximum amount of stored video data?		
3.15	How is system access and user rights/access managed?		
3.16	Describe download management capabilities.		
3.17	Does the proposed system/software allow video marking with searchable metadata?		
3.18	Identify available video marking attributes/options (i.e. officer name, date/time, case number, offense, etc.).		
3.19	Describe software redaction capabilities. If/when redaction occurs is the original video/audio file preserved?		
3.20	Describe audit capabilities specific to chain of custody (i.e. who accessed, viewed, edited, copied, etc.).		
3.21	Does the proposed system have built-in spell check capabilities?		
3.22	Does the proposed system have the ability to digitally		



	authenticate duplicates?		
--	--------------------------	--	--

Training and Implementation 4.0

4.1	On-site training is strongly desired for 24 sworn and 4 non-sworn personnel...describe format, delivery and cost.		
4.2	What will be the approach to training IT/system managers?		

Contract Terms and Conditions 5.0

5.1	Provide a sample contract.		
5.2	Describe purchaser's data rights, and how such rights are preserved in the event of relationship being terminated.		
5.3	Provide cost itemization including modifications/options.		
5.8	Does your company currently appear in the Oregon Procurement Information Network (ORPIN) as an approved/awarded contractor?		
5.9	Describe any legal or technical assistance you will provide in the event of a lawsuit involving the use and/or application of your BWC.		
5.10	Describe Criminal Justice Information Systems (CJIS) compliance strategy/assurance for both the application and applicable host option.		

